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## Exercise 1.

10 Points
Consider the uncapacitated facility location problem

| (UFL) $\min$ | $\sum_{i \in I} f_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i j \in A} d_{i j} x_{i j}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (i) | $\sum_{i \in I} x_{i j} \geq 1 \quad \forall j \in J$ |

(ii) $\quad y_{i} \geq x_{i j} \quad \forall i j \in A$
(iii) $\quad y_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \forall i \in I$
(iv) $\quad x_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \quad \forall i j \in A$,
where $I=\{1, \ldots, m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, A \subseteq I \times J, f \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I}$, and $d \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{A}$. Prove:
a) (UFL) has an optimal $0 / 1$-solution.
b) (UFL) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (UFL) (i), (ii), (iii), $x_{i j} \geq 0 \forall i j \in A$.

## Exercise 2.

10 Points
Consider the following set covering problem associated with model (UFL) of exercise 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (SCP) } \min \sum_{\substack{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{J}}} c_{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right)} z_{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \text { (i) } \\
& \sum_{J^{\prime} \ngtr j} z_{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right)} \geq \quad 1 \quad \forall j \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\quad z_{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right)} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall\left(i, J^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{J}$.

Here, $J(i):=\{j \in J: i j \in A \forall i \in I\}, \mathcal{J}:=\left\{\left(i, J^{\prime}\right): i \in I, \varnothing \mp J^{\prime} \subseteq J(i)\right\}$, and $c\left(i, J^{\prime}\right):=f_{i}+\sum_{j \in J^{\prime}} d_{i j} \forall\left(i, J^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{J}$. Prove:
a) There is a one-to-one correspondence between optimal $0 / 1$-solutions of (UFL) and (SCP).
b) UFL is APX-hard. Hint: SCP is APX-hard.

## Exercise 3.

10 Points
In the (Metric) Capacitated Facility Location Problem (MCFL), we are given a natural number $u_{i}$ for each facility $i$, and a facility $i$ can serve at most $u_{i}$ clients.
Adjust the IP formulation for the (Metric) Uncapacitated Facility Location Problem (MUFL) to this situation and show that the integrality gap between the LP and the IP optimum is unbounded.

## Exercise 4.

## 10 Points

Modify the LP rounding Algorithm for the MUFL as follows:
i) Change the definition of $x^{\prime}$ in the filtering step to

$$
x_{i j}^{\prime} \leftarrow \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \notin N_{j}(\beta) \\ \alpha \bar{x}_{i j}, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

for an appropriate $\alpha$ of your choice.
ii) Replace in the LP rounding step $N_{j}$ by $N_{j}(\beta):=\left\{i \in I: x_{i j}>0 \wedge d_{i j} \leq \beta D_{j}\right\}$.
a) What is the resulting approximation ratio depending on $\beta$ ?
b) What is the best approximation ratio that can be obtained by modifying $\beta$ ?

## Exercise 5.

Tutorial Session
Consider a complete graph $G=(V, E)$ on an even number of nodes $V$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Euclidean distances as edge weights. The Euclidean Perfect Matching Problem (EPMP) is to find a minimum weight set of edges such that every node is contained in exactly one edge. Edmonds [1965] showed that the EPMP (and, in fact, any perfect matching problem) can be solved using the linear program

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { (EPMP) } & \min \sum_{u v \in V^{2}} c_{u v} x_{u v} \\
\text { (i) } & x(\delta(v)) & =1 \quad \forall v \in V  \tag{i}\\
\text { (ii) } & x(\delta(W)) & \geq 1 \quad \forall W \subseteq V,|W| \text { odd } \\
\text { (iii) } & x_{u v} \geq 0 \quad \forall u v \in V^{2} ;
\end{array}
$$

constraints (EPMP) (i) and (iii) are the degree and odd set or odd cut constraints, respectively. Consider the following primal-dual algorithm for the EPMP.

```
Algorithm 1: Primal-dual Euclidean perfect matching algorithm.
Input : complete graph \(G=(V, E), V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, c_{u v}:=\|u-v\|_{2} \forall u v \in V^{2}\)
Output: forest \(F \subseteq E\)
\(1 y \leftarrow 0, k \leftarrow 1, F^{k} \leftarrow \varnothing\);
2 If there is no odd tree (with an odd number of nodes) in \(F^{k}\), output \(F \leftarrow F^{k}\), stop;
\(u v \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in V(S), v \in V(T), S, T}\) different trees in \(F^{k} \epsilon_{u v}:=\frac{c_{u v}-y_{u}-y_{v}-\sum_{u v \epsilon \delta(W), W \subseteq V} y_{W}}{|V(S) \% 2+|V(T)| \% 2} ;\)
\(e^{k} \leftarrow u v, \epsilon^{k} \leftarrow \epsilon_{u v} ;\)
\(y_{v} \leftarrow y_{v}+\epsilon^{k} \forall v \in V, y_{W} \leftarrow y_{W}+\epsilon^{k} \forall W=V(T), T\) odd tree in \(F^{k},|W|>1 ;\)
\(F^{k+1} \leftarrow F^{k} \cup\left\{e^{k}\right\}, k \leftarrow k+1\), goto 2 ;
```

a) Set up the dual (EPMD) of (EPMP), associating variables $y_{v}$ and $y_{W}$ with the degree and odd cut constraints.
b) At least one of the trees $S$ and $T$ in step 3 is odd.
c) Algorithm 1 terminates in $|V|-1$ iterations with a spanning forest $F$ of even trees and a dual feasible solution $y$, i.e., $y$ is feasible for EPMD.
d) A spanning forest of even trees can be reduced to a perfect matching of no greater weight by (i) deleting even edges (edges whose deletion subdivides an even tree into even trees) (ii) finding in some even tree with at least 4 nodes and all odd edges two leaves $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ adjacent to a common third node $v$, deleting edges $w v$ and $w^{\prime} v$, and joining $w$ and $w^{\prime}$.
e) $L:=\sum_{v \in V} y_{v}+\sum_{W \subseteq V} y_{W}$ is a lower bound for the weight of any perfect matching.
f) Consider an edge $u v \in F$. In iteration $k$, let $S^{K}$ and $T^{k}$ be the trees in $F$ containing $u$ and $v$, respectively, and let $c_{u v}^{k}:=\epsilon^{k}\left(\left|S^{k}\right| \% 1+\left|T^{k}\right| \% 1\right)$, if $S^{k} \neq T^{k}$, and 0 otherwise. Then $c_{u v}^{k}=0$ for $u v \in F^{k}$ and $c_{u v}=\sum_{k=1}^{t} c_{u v}^{k}$, if Algorithm 1 terminates after $t$ iterations.
g) If in each iteration $\sum_{u v \in F} c_{u v}^{k} \leq 2 \epsilon^{k} \cdot \mid\left\{\right.$ odd trees in $\left.F^{k}\right\} \mid$, then $\sum_{u v \in F} c_{u v} \leq 2 L$, i.e., Algorithm 1 is 2 -approximative.
h) Consider for some arbitrary, but fixed iteration $k$ the forest $\bar{F}$ obtained from $F$ by shrinking every tree of $F^{k}$ into a single node. Partition the nodes of $\bar{F}$ into two sets $\bar{V}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{V}^{\prime \prime}$ corresponding to odd and even trees, respectively. Then $\bar{F}$ has no leaves in $\bar{V}^{\prime \prime}, \sum_{v \in \bar{V}^{\prime}} \operatorname{deg}_{\bar{F}}(v) \leq 2\left|\bar{V}^{\prime}\right|$, and g) holds.
i) The values of the dual variables $y$ in Algorithm 1 can be interpreted as radii of circles and "moats" around nodes and odd sets. Solve the two problems in Figure 1 graphically using Algorithm 1.
j) Implement the linear programming formulation EPMP for the Euclidean perfect matching problem. Solve it, and verify the optimality of the solution graphically.


Figure 1: Euclidean perfect matching problem.

