Introduction to

**Linear and Combinatorial Optimization** 



14.1 Extreme Rays

# **Extreme Rays**

- a one-dimensional face *F* of a polyhedron is an extreme ray (face) if *F* has one vertex, i.e.,
   *F* = *x* + cone({*z*}) with *x* ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup>, *z* ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup> \ {0}
- we call *z* an extreme ray
- for a pointed polyhedral cone *C*, the extreme rays are the points where *n* 1 linearly independent inequalities are active
- for a pointed polyhedron, the extreme rays are the extreme rays of the recession cone
  - extreme rays of the polyhedron are in the recession cone by Lemma 3.15
  - n 1 linearly independent inequalities  $a_i^{\top}(x + \lambda z) \ge b_i$  active for all  $\lambda \ge 0$
  - n 1 linearly independent inequalities  $a_i^{\top} z \ge 0$  active for z





# 

**Theorem 14.1** Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i^\top \cdot x \ge 0, i = 1, ..., m\}$  be a pointed polyhedral cone and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The minimal cost  $c^\top \cdot x$  subject to  $x \in C$  is equal to  $-\infty$  if and only if there is an extreme ray d of C with  $c^\top \cdot d < 0$ .

**Proof:** "←" is clear by definition of rays.

- " $\Rightarrow$ ": Suppose that min{ $c^{\top} \cdot x \mid x \in C$ } is unbounded
- there is  $x \in C$  :  $c^{\top} \cdot x < 0$
- there is  $x \in C$  :  $c^{\top} \cdot x = -1$
- $P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c^\top \cdot x = -1, a_i^\top \cdot x \ge 0, i = 1, \dots, m\} \neq \emptyset$
- since *C* is pointed (i.e.,  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), *P* is pointed as well
- consider extreme point  $d \in P$
- there are n linearly independent constraints active at d
- there are n 1 linearly independent constraints  $a_i^{\top} \cdot x \ge 0$  active at d
- *d* is an extreme ray of *C* (note that  $d \neq 0$  since  $c^{\top} \cdot d = -1$ )

# Characterization of Unbounded LPs (Cont.) — 14/4

• Theorem 14.1 also holds for pointed polyhedra:

**Theorem 14.2** Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a pointed polyhedron and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The minimal cost  $c^{\top} \cdot x$  subject to  $x \in P$  is equal to  $-\infty$  if and only if there is an extreme ray d of P with  $c^{\top} \cdot d < 0$ .

• if the simplex method observes that an LP is unbounded, the corresponding *j*th basic direction is an extreme ray *d* with  $c^{\top} \cdot d < 0$ 

## **Proof of Theorem 14.2**

14 5

Let  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \cdot x \ge b\}$  be pointed.

- " $\Leftarrow$ " is clear by definition of rays.
- " $\Rightarrow$ ": Consider infeasible dual LP:

$$\max p^{\top} \cdot b \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad p^{\top} \cdot A = c^{\top}, \quad p \ge 0$$

- replace objective function by  $p^{\top} \cdot 0 \implies$  problem remains infeasible: max  $p^{\top} \cdot 0$  s.t.  $p^{\top} \cdot A = c^{\top}, \quad p \ge 0$
- corresponding primal LP is feasible and thus unbounded:

$$\min c^{\top} \cdot x \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad A \cdot x \ge 0$$

- by Theorem 14.1, there is an extreme ray d of  $\{x \mid A \cdot x \ge 0\}$  with  $c^{\top} \cdot d < 0$ .
- since  $\{x \mid A \cdot x \ge 0\}$  is recession cone of *P*, *d* is extreme ray of *P*.

Introduction to

**Linear and Combinatorial Optimization** 



# **14.2 Resolution Theorem**

## **Resolution Theorem**

call a set W = {w<sup>1</sup>,..., w<sup>r</sup>} of extreme rays complete if λw ∈ W for all extreme rays w for some λ > 0

**Theorem 14.3** Let  $P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \cdot x \ge b\} \ne \emptyset$  be pointed. Let  $x^1, \dots, x^k$  be the extreme points and  $w^1, \dots, w^r$  a complete set of extreme rays of P. Then,  $P = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot x^i + \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j \cdot w^j \mid \lambda_i, \theta_j \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}.$ 

**Corollary 14.4** A non-empty polytope is equal to the convex hull of its extreme points.

**Corollary 14.5** Every element of a pointed polyhedral cone is a non-negative linear combination (i.e., a conic combination) of its extreme rays.

14 | 7

#### **Proof of Theorem 14.3**

Let 
$$Q := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot x^i + \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j \cdot w^j \mid \lambda_i, \theta_j \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}.$$

 $P \supseteq Q$ : Clear by convexity of *P* and by definition of rays of *P*.

 $P \subseteq Q$ : Assume by contradiction that there is a  $z \in P \setminus Q$ . Since  $z \notin Q$ , the following LP is infeasible:

$$\begin{array}{l} \max \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} 0 \cdot \lambda_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} 0 \cdot \theta_{j} \\ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \cdot x^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_{j} \cdot w^{j} = z, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} = 1 \quad \lambda, \theta \ge 0 \end{array}$$

The corresponding dual LP is feasible and thus unbounded:

$$\min p^{\top} \cdot z + q \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad p^{\top} \cdot x^{i} + q \ge 0 \quad \forall i, \qquad p^{\top} \cdot w^{j} \ge 0 \quad \forall j$$

There is a solution  $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$  with  $\bar{p}^{\top} \cdot z + \bar{q} < 0$  and thus

$$\bar{p}^{\top} \cdot z < \bar{p}^{\top} \cdot x^{i} \quad \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p}^{\top} \cdot w^{j} \ge 0 \quad \forall j \quad (\star)$$

### **Proof of Theorem 14.3 (Cont.)**

For this fixed vector  $\bar{p}$ , consider the LP:

$$\min \, \bar{p}^\top \cdot x \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad A \cdot x \ge b$$

Notice that z is a feasible solution to this LP.

Case 1: The LP has finite optimal cost.

Then, there is an optimal extreme point  $x^i$  for some *i*. In particular,  $\bar{p}^{\top} \cdot z \ge \bar{p}^{\top} \cdot x^i$  for this *i*, a contradiction to (\*).

Case 2: The LP is unbounded.

By Theorem 14.2, there is an extreme ray  $w^j$  with  $\bar{p}^{\top} \cdot w^j < 0$ , again a contradiction to (\*). 14 9

## **Converse to the Resolution Theorem**

**Definition 14.6** A set  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is finitely generated if there are  $x^1, \ldots, x^k, w^1, \ldots, w^r \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Q = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot x^i + \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j \cdot w^j \mid \lambda_i, \theta_j \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}.$ 

#### Remark

- the Resolution Theorem states that a polyhedron with at least one extreme point is finitely generated
- this is also true for general polyhedra

**Theorem 14.7** A finitely generated set Q is a polyhedron. In particular, the convex hull of finitely many vectors is a polytope.

#### **Proof of Theorem 14.7**

14 | 11

For some  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the LP

$$\begin{array}{l} \max \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} 0 \cdot \lambda_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} 0 \cdot \theta_{j} \\ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \cdot x^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_{j} \cdot w^{j} = z, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} = 1 \quad \lambda, \theta \ge 0 \end{array}$$

Then,  $z \in Q$  if and only if the LP is feasible and bounded.

Thus,  $z \in Q$  if and only if the dual LP is bounded:

$$\min \ p^{\top} \cdot z + q \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad p^{\top} \cdot x^{i} + q \ge 0 \quad \forall i, \qquad p^{\top} \cdot w^{j} \ge 0 \quad \forall j$$

Convert the dual LP to standard form:

$$\min (p^{+} - p^{-})^{\top} \cdot z + (q^{+} - q^{-})$$
s.t.  $(p^{+} - p^{-})^{\top} \cdot x^{i} + (q^{+} - q^{-}) - \alpha_{i} = 0 \qquad \forall i$ 
 $(p^{+} - p^{-})^{\top} \cdot w^{j} - \beta_{j} = 0 \qquad \forall j$ 
 $p^{+}, p^{-}, q^{+}, q^{-}, \alpha, \beta \ge 0$ 

The set of feasible solutions of this LP in standard form is pointed.

#### Proof of Theorem 14.7 (Cont.)

By Theorem 14.1, the dual LP in standard form is bounded if and only if

$$(p^{+} - p^{-})^{\top} \cdot z + (q^{+} - q^{-}) \ge 0 \qquad (\star)$$

14 12

holds for all, finitely many, extreme rays  $(p^+, p^-, q^+, q^-, \alpha, \beta)$ .

Conclusion:

$$z \in Q \iff$$
 dual LP is bounded  
 $\iff z$  fulfills finitely many linear inequalities (\*)

Thus, Q is a polyhedron.

# **Representation of Polyhedra**

14 13

Conclusion: There are two ways of representing a polyhedron:

- in terms of a finite set of linear constraints (outer representation);
- as a finitely generated set, in terms of its extreme points and rays (inner representation).

#### Remarks

- Passing from one type of description to the other is, in general, a complicated computational task.
- One description can be small while the other one is huge. Examples:
  - An *n*-dimensional cube is given by 2*n* linear constraints and has 2<sup>*n*</sup> extreme points.
  - A representation of the convex hull of the 2n points

$$e_1, -e_1, e_2, -e_2, \ldots, e_n, -e_n$$

in terms of linear constraints needs at least  $2^n$  linear inequalities.